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#### Abstract

Starting from the generalization of the Itzykson-Zuber integral for $U(m \mid n)$ we study the orthogonality relations for this supergroup.


Motivated by the recent progress made in the study of random surfaces and statistical systems on random surfaces, which might have important applications in non-critical string theory as well as quantum chromodynamics (QCD) in the large $N$ limit, we have considered the extension of some of these ideas to the case where the associated random matrices [1] are replaced by supermatrices. An important mathematical object that appears naturally in the discussion of random matrices is the Itzykson-Zuber (Iz) integral over the unitary group [2]. This integral has been applied to the solution of the two-matrix model [2,3] and, more recently, to the Migdal-Kazakov model of 'induced QCD' [4].

Recently we extended the IZ integral to the case of the unitary supergroup $U(m \mid n)$ [5]. In this paper we apply this result to the determination of the orthogonality relations between the irreducible representations of this supergroup. The basic problem that arises is that the integration measure $[\mathrm{d} U]$ over $U(m \mid n)$ is of the Berezin type, which includes integrations over odd Grassmann numbers according to the standard recipe [6]. Thus, in many cases the integration over [dU] of supermatrix elements corresponding to arbitrary representations of the supergroup will automatically be zero due to the above-mentioned Grassmannian character. In particular, this will happen in the case of the orthogonality relations and the purpose of this paper is to characterize the irreducible representations of $U(m \mid n)$ which lead to a non-zero result together with the determination of the corresponding normalization coefficient.

- In the following paragraphs we briefly summarize our conventions regarding the representation of supergroups, together with some results that will be used subsequently.

Supergroups will be represented by linear operators $\tilde{D}(g)$ acting on some vector space with basis $\left\{\Phi_{I}\right\}$. Linearity is defined by $\tilde{D}(g)\left(\Phi_{I} \alpha+\Phi_{J} \beta\right)=\left(\tilde{D}(g) \Phi_{I}\right) \alpha+\left(\tilde{D}(g) \Phi_{J}\right) \beta$, where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are arbitrary Grassmann numbers. The action

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{D}(g)\left(\Phi_{I}\right)=\sum_{J}^{m_{I}+n_{I}} \Phi_{J} \mathcal{D}_{J I}^{(t)}(g) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]defines a representation $\{t\}$ of the supergroup characterized by the Young tableau $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots\right.$, , with $t_{1} \geqslant t_{2} \geqslant \cdots$, in the usual notation. Here the $\mathcal{D}_{J I}^{(t)}(g)$ are the elements of an ( $m_{t}+n_{t}$ ) $\times\left(m_{t}+n_{t}\right.$ ) supermatrix written in the standard block form [6]. In fact, our definition of linearity given above guarantees that the definition (1) satisfies $\mathcal{D}_{J I}^{(t)}\left(g_{1} * g_{2}\right)=\sum_{K} \mathcal{D}_{J K}^{(t)}\left(g_{1}\right) \mathcal{D}_{K I}^{(t)}\left(g_{2}\right)$, thus providing a representation of the supergroup in terms of the standard multiplication of supermatrices.

Schur's lemma can be proved directly in the case of finite supergroups and its extension to continuous supergroups is made in complete analogy with the classical case. In general, the corresponding measure must be left- and right-invariant under the supergroup action and for the case of $U(m \mid n)$ it is defined by $[\mathrm{d} U]=\mu \prod_{P \cdot Q=1}^{m+n} \mathrm{~d} U_{P Q} \mathrm{~d} U_{P Q}^{*} \delta\left(U U^{\dagger}-I\right)$, where the $\delta$-function really means the product of $(m+n)^{2}$ unidimensional $\delta$-functions corresponding to the independent constraints set by the condition $U U^{\dagger}=I$. The normalization constant $\mu$ is fixed by our normalization of the supersymmetric IZ integral. It is important to observe that the above measure possesses $2 m n$ real independent odd differentials.

The application of Schur's lemma to the quantity $\mathcal{X}_{I J}^{s t}=\int[\mathrm{d} U] \mathcal{D}_{I L}^{(s)}(U)$ $X_{L M} \mathcal{D}_{M J}^{(t)}\left(U^{-1}\right)$, where $X_{L M}$ is an arbitrary supermatrix, leads to the conclusion that $\mathcal{X}_{I J}^{s t}$ must be a multiple of the identity supermatrix. Factoring out the arbitrary piece $X_{L M}$, we are left with the orthogonality relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int[\mathrm{d} U] \mathcal{D}_{I J}^{(s)}(U) \mathcal{D}_{K L}^{(t) *}(U)=(-1)^{\epsilon J} \alpha_{\{t\rangle} \delta^{s t} \delta_{I K} \delta_{J L} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(U^{\dagger}\right)_{I J}=\left(U^{-1}\right)_{I J}=\left(U^{*}\right)_{J I}$.
Compared with the classical case, the appearance of odd integration variables in [d $U$ ] imposes further contraints upon the representations that give a non-zero value for the coefficient $\alpha_{[\mu]}$ in (2). The main result of this paper is to characterize such representations.

To begin with, we prove that they satisfy the following lemma.
Lemma. The supercharacters $s \chi_{(t)}(U) \equiv \sum_{I}(-1)^{\epsilon \prime} \mathcal{D}_{I I}^{(t)}(U)$ of the representations $\mathcal{D}_{I J}^{(t)}(U)$ for which $\alpha_{\{\mu\}} \neq 0$ constitute a linearly independent set.

The proof goes as follows: the orthogonality relations (2) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int[\mathrm{d} U] s \chi_{(s)}(U) \mathcal{D}_{K L}^{(t) *}(U)=\alpha_{t} \delta^{s t} \delta_{K L} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, let us consider a null linear combination of supercharacters of representations with $\alpha_{\{s]} \neq 0: \sum_{s} a_{s} s \chi_{s}(U)=0$. Multiplying this equation by $\mathcal{D}_{k l}^{(t) *}(U)$ and integrating over $\mathrm{d} U$ we have $a_{t} \alpha_{\{t]} \delta_{k l}=0$ for each representation $\{t\}$, which shows that $a_{t}=0$ provided $\alpha_{\{t\}} \neq 0$.

The starting point that leads to the determination of the representations $\{t\}$ together with the values of the non-zero $\alpha_{\{t]}$ in (2) is our supersymmetric extension of the $1 Z$ integral given by [5]

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{I}\left(M_{1}, M_{2} ; \beta\right) & \equiv \int[\mathrm{d} U] \mathrm{e}^{\beta \operatorname{Str}\left(M_{1} U M_{2} U^{\dagger}\right)} \\
& =\beta^{m \pi} \Sigma\left(\lambda_{1}, \bar{\lambda}_{1}\right) \Sigma\left(\lambda_{2}, \bar{\lambda}_{2}\right) I\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} ; \beta\right) I\left(\bar{\lambda}_{1}, \bar{\lambda}_{2} ;-\beta\right) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I\left(d_{1}, d_{2} ; \gamma\right)$ is the standard IZ integral [2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(d_{1}, d_{2} ; \gamma\right)=\gamma^{-\frac{N(N-1)}{2}} \prod_{p=1}^{m-1} p!\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\gamma d_{1 i} d_{2 j}}\right)}{\Delta\left(d_{1}\right) \Delta\left(d_{2}\right)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(d)=\prod_{i>j}\left(d_{i}-d_{j}\right) \quad \Sigma(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})=\prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{n}\left(\lambda_{i}-\bar{\lambda}_{\alpha}\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are ( $m+n$ ) $\times(m+n)$ Hermitian supermatrices which can be diagonalized [7] and $\beta$ is a complex parameter. Our notation is such that the first $m$ eigenvalues of $M$ are identified by $\lambda_{i}$, while the remaining $n$ eigenvalues are denoted by $\bar{\lambda}_{\alpha}$. Such a partition is characterized by the following parity assignment of the eigenvector components $V_{P}, \bar{V}_{P}$ : $\epsilon\left(V_{P}\right)=\epsilon(P), \epsilon\left(\bar{V}_{P}\right)=\epsilon(P)+1$.

A convenient way of rewriting the standard IZ integral is in terms of its expansion in characters of the corresponding irreducible representations of the group $U(m)$ [2]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} ; \beta\right)=\sum_{\{n\}} \frac{\beta^{|n|}}{|n|!} \frac{\sigma_{\{n\}}}{d_{[n\}}} \chi_{\{n\}}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \chi_{\{n]}\left(\lambda_{2}\right) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following analogous steps, we obtain the supercharacter expansion of expresion (4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{I}\left(M_{1}, M_{2} ; \beta\right)=\sum_{[t\}} \frac{\beta^{[n]}}{|t|!} \sigma_{\{t\}} \alpha_{\{t]} s \chi_{\{t]}\left(M_{1}\right) s \chi_{\{t\}}\left(M_{2}\right) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above relation has been obtained without the use of a completeness relation for the supercharacters. Here $|t|$ denotes the total number of boxes in the Young tableau corresponding to the irreducible representation $\{t\}$ of $U(m \mid n)$ and $\sigma_{\{t\}}$ counts the number of times that this representation is contained in the tensor product $\otimes^{|f|} \mathcal{D}$.

By the previously proved lemma we see that the representations which contribute to (8) have supercharacters that form a linearly independent set.

Now we consider the determination of the representations with non-zero $\alpha_{\{t\}}$. The basic expression we use is the character expansion on both sides of (4), which is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\{t\}} \frac{\beta^{i t \mid}}{|t|!} \sigma_{\{t]} \alpha_{\{t\}} s \chi_{\{t]}\left(M_{1}\right) s \chi_{\{t]}\left(M_{2}\right) \\
&= \sum_{\{p\}} \sum_{[q\}} \frac{\beta^{|p|+|q|+m n}}{[p|!| q \mid!} \frac{\sigma_{\{p\}} \sigma_{\{q\}}}{d_{\{p]} d_{[q]}}(-1)^{\mid q]} \\
& \quad \times \Sigma\left(\lambda_{1}, \bar{\lambda}_{1}\right) \chi_{\{p]}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \chi_{\{q]}\left(\bar{\lambda}_{1}\right) \Sigma\left(\lambda_{2}, \bar{\lambda}_{2}\right) \chi_{\{p]}\left(\lambda_{2}\right) \chi_{\{q\}}\left(\bar{\lambda}_{2}\right) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we analyse this equation by considering the following cases.
(a) The case of $/ t /<m n$

Before performing any further analysis, from (9) we can immediately conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{\{t\}}=0 \quad \text { for } \quad|t|=0,1, \ldots,(m n-1) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

because on both sides of this equation we have a power series in $\beta$, and the right-hand term of it starts with $\beta^{m n}$ while the left-hand one starts with $\beta^{0}$. The proof goes by assuming that some coefficients $\alpha_{\{t\}}$ are non-zero. The linear independence of the terms, together with the above observation, imply that they must be zero, thus leading to a contradiction.

## (b) The case of $/ t / \geqslant m n$

As we have just stated, equation (9) is a power series in $\beta$ on both sides of the equation, so for the same power of $\beta$ we must have the same coefficient

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{|t|!} \sum_{\{t\}} \sigma_{\{t]} \alpha_{[t]} s \chi_{\{t]}\left(M_{1}\right) s \chi_{\{t\}}\left(M_{2}\right) \\
&= \sum_{\{p\}} \sum_{\{q\}} \frac{(-1)^{|q|}}{|p|!|q|!} \frac{\sigma_{\{p\}} \sigma_{\{q]}}{d_{\{p]} d_{\{q]}} \\
& \quad \times \Sigma\left(\lambda_{1}, \bar{\lambda}_{1}\right) \chi_{\{p\}}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \chi_{[q]}\left(\bar{\lambda}_{1}\right) \Sigma\left(\lambda_{2}, \bar{\lambda}_{2}\right) \chi_{\{p]}\left(\lambda_{2}\right) \chi_{\{q\}}\left(\bar{\lambda}_{2}\right) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where the sum on the LHS is over all tableaux having a fixed number of boxes $|t|$, while the sum over $\{p\}$ and $\{q\}$ on the RHS is restricted to

$$
\begin{equation*}
|p|+|q|=|t|-m n . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now want to prove that equation (11) necessarily implies that

$$
s \chi_{(t)}(M)=c_{\{p, q]} \Sigma(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) \chi_{\{p\}}(\lambda) \chi_{\{q\}}(\bar{\lambda})
$$

for some $\{p\}$ and $\{q\}$ satisfying (12) and for a certain representation $\{t\}$ that we will determine.

In order to extract more information from (11), let us consider an arbitrary supermatrix $M_{2}$, while we restrict the supermatrix $M_{1}$ in such a way that one of its $\lambda$-eigenvalues is equal to one of its $\bar{\lambda}$-eigenvalues. Namely, let $\lambda_{j}=\bar{\lambda}_{\beta}$, for example. Then, in equation (11) we are left with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{|t|!} \sum_{\{t\}} \sigma_{[t\}} \alpha_{\{t]} s \chi_{\{t\}}\left(M_{1}\right) s \chi_{(t)}\left(M_{2}\right)=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $\Sigma\left(\lambda_{1}, \bar{\lambda}_{1}\right)$ becomes zero. If we look at this relation as a null linear combination of the supercharacters $s \chi_{\{t)}\left(M_{2}\right)$ with coefficients

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{\{t\}}=\frac{1}{|t|!} \sigma_{[t\}} \alpha_{\{t\}} s \chi_{\{t\}}\left(M_{1}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

we conclude that the coefficients $\gamma_{\{t\}}$ are all zero, because the supercharacters appearing in (13) constitute a linearly independent set. But $\sigma_{\{t\}}$ and $\alpha_{\{t\}}$ are non-zero, so that we are left with $s \chi_{\{t\}}\left(\tilde{M}_{1}\right)=0$. Recalling that $s \chi_{\{t\}}(M)$ is a polynomial function of the eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}, \bar{\lambda}_{\alpha}$, we conclude from this relation that $s \chi_{\{f\}}(M)$ must be divisible by $\left(\lambda_{j}-\bar{\lambda}_{\beta}\right)$. That is to say

$$
\begin{equation*}
s \chi_{[t \mid}(M)=\left(\lambda_{j}-\bar{\lambda}_{\beta}\right) F_{j \beta}(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{j \beta}(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$ is another polynomial function of the eigenvalues. The same reasoning can be extended to every $\lambda_{i}(\mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{~m})$ and $\bar{\lambda}_{\alpha}(\alpha=1, \ldots, \mathrm{n})$, and this implies that $s \chi_{(t)}(M)$ must have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
s \chi_{[t]}(M)=\prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{n}\left(\lambda_{i}-\bar{\lambda}_{\alpha}\right) P(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})=\Sigma(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) P(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In equation (16), $P(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}$ ) must be a homogeneous polynomial function of all the eigenvalues, because $s \chi_{[t]}(M)$ and $\Sigma(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$ are so. The degree of homogeneity of $s \chi_{[t]}(M)$ and $\Sigma(\lambda, \vec{\lambda})$ is $|t|$ and $m n$, respectively. This means that the degree of homogeneity of $P(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$ must be $|t|-m n$. Also, we know that $s \chi_{[t]}(M)$ and $\Sigma(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$ are symmetric functions in the
eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}, \bar{\lambda}_{\alpha}$, separately, and so should be $P(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$. Summing up then, $P(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$ is (i) a homogeneous polynomial function of degree $|t|-m n$ in all the eigenvalues, and (ii) a symmetric function of $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{\bar{\lambda}_{\alpha}\right\}$, separately. Since the characters $\chi_{\{a\}}(\lambda) \cdot\left(\chi_{\{b\}}(\bar{\lambda})\right)$ are polynomial homogeneous functions of degree $|a|(|b|)$, which are symmetric in the eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}\left(\bar{\lambda}_{\alpha}\right)$ and constitute a complete linearly independent set, $P(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})=\sum_{\{a\},\{b\}} c_{\{a, b\}}^{\{t\}} \chi_{\{a\}}(\lambda) \chi_{\{b\}}(\bar{\lambda}) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum in $\{a\}$ and $\{b\}$ is rectricted by $|a|+|b|=|t|-m n$. Substituting this last relation in (16) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
s \chi_{\{t]}(M)=\Sigma(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) \sum_{\{a\},(b\}\}} c_{\{a, b]}^{[t\}} \chi_{\{a\}}(\lambda) \chi_{\{b]}(\bar{\lambda}) . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the above expression in the LHS of (11) and comparing both sides of this equation, we obtain the result that the expansion in (18) must include only one coefficient, for a given tableaux $\{t$, whose precise form is yet to be determined. That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
s \chi_{\{t]}(M)=c_{[p, q]}^{\{t]} \Sigma(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) \chi_{\{p\}}(\lambda) \chi_{\{q\}}(\bar{\lambda}) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\{p\}$ and $\{q\}$ satisfy (12). As the number of solutions to (12) is $|t|-m n+1$, the supercharacter expansion of the supersymmetric iz integral will contain only $(|t|-m n+1)$ terms, for a given $|t|$.
(b) $(i)$ The case of $\{p\}=\{q\}=0$. Here we have $|t|=m n$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
s \chi_{[t]}(M)=c_{\{0,0\}}^{\{t\}} \Sigma(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to proceed with the required identifications, let us consider the particular case where the only non-zero block of the supermatrix $M$ is the $m \times m$ block, i.e.

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
M^{\prime} & 0  \tag{21}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then equation (20) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\{t]}\left(M^{\prime}\right)=c_{\{0,0\}}^{[t\}}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}\right)^{n} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Weyl's formula for the character of the representations of the unitary group

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\{r]}(\lambda)=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(\lambda_{i}^{r_{j}+n-j}\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(\lambda_{i}^{n-j}\right)} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

we conclude that the product of eigenvalues in (22) corresponds to the character of the representation $\{r\}=\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{m}\right)$ with $r_{i}=n$ of $U(m)$. We are using the standard notation $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{m}\right)$ to denote a Young tableau with $m$ rows, such that the $i$ th row has $r_{i}$ boxes. In this way we have that $\chi_{\{t\}}\left(M^{\prime}\right)=c_{\{0,0\}} \chi_{(n, n, \ldots, n\}}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$, which allows the identification of the representation $\{t\}$ as the one given by the tableau corresponding to $t_{1}=t_{2}=\cdots=t_{m}=n$, together with $c_{\{0,0\}}^{[t\}}=1$. Besides, we identify $\Sigma(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$ as the supercharacter of the representation referred to above. We will denote by $\{m n\}$ the representation just found, whose tableau consists of $m$ rows, each with $n$ boxes.
(b)(ii) The case of $\{p\} \neq 0,\{q\}=0$. Here we have $|t|=|p|+m n$ and $s \chi_{\{t\}}(M)=$ $c_{[p, 0]}^{[t]} \Sigma(\lambda, \vec{\lambda}) \chi_{[p]}(\lambda)$. Considering in this expression the same choice of $M$ as in (21), we have $\chi_{\{t\}}\left(M^{\prime}\right)=c_{\{p, 0\}}^{[t]}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}\right)^{n} \chi_{\{p]}(\lambda)$. Again using Weyl's formula we are able make the identification $\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}\right)^{n} \chi_{\{p\}}(\lambda)=\chi_{\{n+p\}}(\lambda)$, where by $\{n+p\}$ we mean the representation with Young tableau $\left(n+p_{1}, n+p_{2}, \ldots, n+p_{m}\right)$. This leads to $\chi_{i t\}}\left(M^{\prime}\right)=$ $c_{\{p, 0\}} \chi_{\left(n+p_{1}, n+p_{2}, \ldots, n+p_{m}\right)}(\lambda)$ for this case and we conclude that $c_{\{p, 0\}}^{[t]}=1$ with $\{t\}$ being the representation $\left(n+p_{1}, n+p_{2}, \ldots, n+p_{m}\right)$ of $U(m \mid n)$. We introduce the pictorial notation $\{n+p\}=\{m n\}\{p\}$, which will be useful in the what follows.
(b)(iii) The case of arbitrary $\{p\}$ and $\{q\}$. Now we discuss the main result of this paper which states that the representations of $U(m \mid n)$ with $\alpha_{\{t]} \neq 0$ are characterized by the following Young tableaux:

$$
\{\tilde{t}\}=\begin{align*}
& \{m n\}\{p\}  \tag{24}\\
& \{q\}^{\mathrm{T}}
\end{align*} \equiv\binom{\{p\}}{\{q\}^{\mathrm{T}}}
$$

with the normalization coefficient given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{\{\overline{7}]}=(-1)^{|q|} \frac{|\tilde{t}|!}{|p|!|q|!} \frac{\sigma_{\{p\}} \sigma_{\{q\}}}{\sigma_{[\tilde{t}\}}} \frac{1}{d_{\{p \mid} d_{[q\}}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Young tableau $\{\tilde{u}\}=\left(\begin{array}{l}\{r\}^{\tau}\end{array}\right)$ introduced in (24) is constructed by starting from the basic array $\{n+r\}=\{m n\}\{r\}$ defined previously, together with the array $\{s\}=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n}\right\}$,
which is subsequently transposed and attached to the left bottom of it.
An important result that leads to the above conclusions is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s \chi_{\{\bar{n}]}(M)=(-1)^{|q|} \Sigma(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) \chi_{\{p]}(\lambda) \chi_{[q]}(\bar{\lambda}) . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we give some details of the proof of (26). We start from the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
s \chi_{\{m n\}\{u]}(M)=\Sigma(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) \chi_{[u\}}(\lambda) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is valid for every representation $\{u\}$ of $U(m)$. The proof will follow in two steps.
(i) First we prove, by induction, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s \chi_{\left\{\bar{i}_{1}\right\}}(M)=(-1)^{\left|r_{1}\right|} \Sigma(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) \chi_{\{p\}}(\lambda) \chi_{\left\{r_{r}\right\}^{\mathrm{T}}}(\bar{\lambda}) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\tilde{t}_{1}\right\}=\binom{[p\rangle}{\left[r_{1}\right)}$ is a Young tableau of the type (24) with $\left\{r_{1}\right\}=\left(r_{1}\right), r_{1} \leqslant n$, corresponding to a single row with $r_{1}$ boxes, which is attached without transposition to the bottom of $\{m n\}\{p\}$. Let us consider first the case ( 1 ) $=\{\square\}$, that is $r_{1}=1$. Taking $\{u\}=\{p\}$ in (27) and multiplying both sides by $s \chi_{\{\square\}}(M)=\chi_{\{\square]}(\lambda)-\chi_{[\square\}}(\bar{\lambda})$ we obtain $s \chi_{[m n\}(\{p \mid \times \square)}(M)+s \chi_{\left\{\bar{t}_{11}\right\}}(M)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\Sigma(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) \chi_{[p] \times \square(\lambda)}-\Sigma(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) \chi_{\{p]}(\lambda) \chi_{\{\square]}(\bar{\lambda}) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\tilde{t}_{11}\right\}=\binom{\{p\}}{(1)}$. Applying (27) to the case $\{u\}=\{p\} \times \square$ in (29) we are left with

$$
\begin{equation*}
s \chi_{\left\{\bar{f}_{1}\right\}}(M)=-\Sigma(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) \chi_{\{p\}}(\lambda) \chi_{\{\square\}} r(\bar{\lambda}) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

which verifies (28) for this case. Here we have made use of the Young tableux rules for multiplying representations. Next we asume that (28) is valid for the tableau $\left\{\tilde{t}_{1 r}\right\}=\binom{(p)}{(r)}$, and prove that it is also valid for $\left\{\tilde{t}_{1(r+1)}\right\}=\binom{(p)}{(r+1)}$ with $r+1 \leqslant n$. For this purpose we will make use of the relation [8]

$$
\begin{equation*}
s \chi_{(n)}(M)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k} \chi_{(n-k)}(\lambda) \chi_{(k)} r(\bar{\lambda}) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we recall that ( $k$ ) denotes the Young tableau having one row with $k$ boxes, while $(k)^{\mathrm{r}}$ denotes de Young tableau corresponding to one column with $k$ boxes. Considering this relation for $n=r+1$, separating the $k=r+1$ term in the summation and multiplying both sides of (27) by (31), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left.\sum_{k=0}^{r} s \chi_{\left(\begin{array}{c}
(p) \times(r+1-k) \\
(k)
\end{array}\right.}(M)+s \chi_{([p+1)}^{(M)}\right) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{r}(-1)^{k} \Sigma(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) \chi_{[p] \times(r+1-k)}(\lambda) \\
& \times \chi_{(k) r} r^{(\bar{\lambda})+(-1)^{r+1} \Sigma(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) \chi_{\{p]}(\lambda) \chi_{(r+1)^{\mathrm{r}}(\bar{\lambda})}} \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

The first terms of both sides are equal (by virtue of the hypothesis of induction), so this last equation becomes the desired result.
(ii) Following analoguous steps, we can prove by induction on $r_{2}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s \chi_{\left(p_{1} r_{1}, r_{2}\right)}(M)=(-1)^{r_{1}+r_{2}} \Sigma(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) \chi_{[p]}(\lambda) \chi_{\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)^{r}}{ }^{r}(\bar{\lambda}) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r_{2} \leqslant n$. The final choice $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}=\{q\}$ implies the proof of relation (26), which after substitution in (11) leads to our final result (25).

An immediate consequence of our relation in (26) is that we can obtain the dimension for the representations in $U(m \mid n)$ that arise in the supercharacter expansion ( $s d_{[f t}$ ) in terms of the dimension of representations in $U(m)\left(d_{[p]}\right)$ and $U(n)\left(d_{[q]}\right)$. Taking

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
I_{m \times m} & 0 \\
0 & -I_{n \times n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

in (26) and observing that $s \chi_{\{t\}}(M)$ becomes $s d_{\{f\}}$, we obtain the closed expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
s d_{[f]}=2^{m n} d_{[p]} d_{[q]} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the dimensions of the representations of $U(m \mid n)$ characterized by the tableaux in (24). Finally we observe that our expression (25) correctly reproduces the result $\alpha_{\{t\}}=\frac{1}{\alpha_{(k)}}$ for $U(n)$.
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